

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	91/22/2000		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Deborah T. Marr	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 2207/7942	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/490,172					
7:	590	07/10/2003			
Kenyon & Keynon			EXAMINER		
333 W. San Carlos Street Suite 600				CHEN, TE Y	
San Jose, CA 95110				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2171	0
•				DATE MAILED: 07/10/2003	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ML

Advisory Action

Application No. 09/490,172

Applicant(s)

Marr

Examiner

T. Chen

Art Unit 2171



	ШЦЦ
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED <u>Jun 27, 2003</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final	
rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for	r
allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	
THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]	
a) \blacksquare The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	r
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply origina set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
2. X The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) 🗵 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) U they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or	
(d) \square they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: Please see attached Appendix.	
	_
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	_
	_
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	
5. ☒ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: **Please see attached Appendix.**	_
_	_
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ļ
7. X For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	-
Claim(s) rejected: 1, 2,11, and 12,20	_
Claim(s) rejected: 1, 3-11, and 13-20 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2 and 12	-
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2 and 12	-
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2 and 12 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examine	<u>-</u>
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2 and 12	<u>-</u>

Application/Control Number: 09/490,172

Art Unit: 2171

Response to Amendment

- 1. This is in response to amendment filed on 06/27/2000 (paper #11).
- .2. Claims 1, 3-11 and 13-20 pending for examination, claims 1, 3, 4, 10, 11, 13, 14 and 20 have been amended, claims 2 and 12 have been withdrawn for consideration.

Response to Arguments

3. In response to Applicant's argument that the prior art on record, fails to show certain features of applicant's invention. It is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the execution and priority of each thread may be parsed for each resource unit within the execution pipeline of a single processor.) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Furthermore, the amended claims change the scope of the original claimed invention, it raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Page 2